

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/951,635	10/17/1997	MANABU KATO	35.C11250CON		
5514	7590 08/13/2002				
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAMINER		
NEW YORK		PHAN, J	, JAMES		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		• .	2872		
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

00684.0) 3135.1 11/3/02 00684.0) 3135.1 00084.0) 3135.1 00084.0) 3135.1 00084.0) 3135.1 00084.0) 3135.1

APR - 2 2003

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_ I II				
		08/951,635	KATO, MANABU					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		James Phan	2872					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover shet with the o	correspondence address -					
- External control con	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	lay 2002 .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
3	Claim(s) 61-72 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>61,62 and 69-72</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>63-68</u> is/are objected to.							
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1,85(a).								
11)[] [11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
40)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
1	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)[_]All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			- 1				
•	I. \square Certified copies of the priority documents I	have been received.		ľ				
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)								
	•	_		1				
2) Notice	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 39 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 39 Other:							
S. Patent and Trad	emark Office							

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 61, 62, 69-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Yamazaki (Figs. 1 and 5 and column 8, lines 5-21).

Yamazaki discloses a scanning optical system which comprises a light source (11), a cylindrical lens (3), a deflector (4), and an imaging lens having a first optical element and a second optical element (Fig. 1). In column 8, Yamazaki discloses that a plurality of non-symmetrical surfaces with respect to the optical axis may be included/utilized (lines 18-21), and that the curvature of each non-symmetrical surface in the auxiliary direction varies from the center to the periphery along the main scanning direction (Fig. 5 and column 8, lines 22-24). Yamazaki further discloses that the variation of curvature is defined by the following expression:

rh()= ro + (1+k()* Rp* /n)*R*[1 - cos{sin (h/R)}]; thus, the curvatures of the plurality of non-symmetrical surfaces in the sub-scanning (auxilary) direction vary independently of the curvatures in the main scanning direction.

Art Unit: 2872

In re claims 62 and 69 Yamazaki discloses k(+) - k(-) > 0.005M, where k(+) represents a coefficient on the "+" image height side, k(-) represents a coefficient on the "-" image height side, and M represents a lateral magnification in the sub-scanning (auxiliary/secondary) scanning direction of the scanning system. From the above expression, it is clear that the magnification M of the scanning system constant over the effective scanning region.

In re claim 70 a point of inflection would be at the intersection of an optical axis and the lens surface.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 71-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki.

Yamazaki discloses all the claimed features as discussed on page 2 except for a light source including a plurality of light-emitting portions. However, the use of a light source including a plurality of light-emitting portions is conventional in the scanning art for speeding up a scanning operation. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the light source disclosed in Yamazaki with a light source device having a plurality of light-

Serial Number: 08/951,635

Art Unit: 2872

emitting portions for producing a multiple scanning lines in each scan so as to reduce the scanning

operation time.

In re claim 72 see column 4, lines 1-3.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 63-68 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: none 6.

of the cited references teaches or fairly suggests a scanning optical system defined by each of

claims 63-68.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to James Phan whose telephone number is (703) 308-4810. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Phan, J.

Aug. 10, 2002

Page 4

icant's Copy ₩FORM FTO 1449 (modified) ATTY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. 00684.003135.1 (35.C11250 CI) 08/951,635 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE APPLICANT LIST OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT(S) (Use several sheets if necessary) MANABU KATO FILING DATE Submitted: May 15, 2002 GROUP October 17, 1997 2872 U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS DOCUMENT NUMBER FILING DATE DATE NAME CLASS SUBCLASS 10/06/98 5,818,505 Kato 347 258 5,038,156 08/06/91 Kuroda 347 259 5,128,795 07/07/92 Endou, et al. 359 207 04/06/93 5,200,850 lizuka, et al. 359 206 10/08/96 5,563,729 Nakamura, et al. 359 205 FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS TRANSLATION DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE COUNTRY CLASS SUBCLASS YES/NO/ OR ABSTRACT OTHER DOCUMENT(S) (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

FORM PTO 1449 (modified) U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE LIST OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT(S) (Use several sheets if necessary) Submitted: May 15, 2002			MMERCE	ATTY DOCKET NO. 00684.003135.1 (35.C11250 CI)	APPLICA	TION NO. 08/951,	635	
				APPLICANT MANABU KATO				
				FILING DATE Octob r 17, 1997		GROUP 2872		
J				U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS				
NI OL I		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME _	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE	
Imf 15		5,008,686	04/16/91	Saito	346	108		
3/1-	Child .	5,329,399	07/12/94	Но	359	662		
J.T.		5,648,865	07/15/97	lizuka	359	208		
					·			
							i	
	,		F	OREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS	_			
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION YES/NO/ OR ABSTRACT	
		7-13092	01/17/95	Japan			Abstract	
		-						
		_						
			,					
·			OTHER DOCUMENT(S	6) (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)				
EXAMINER		Janus	liam	DATE CONSIDERED				
		- 11101		<u> </u>				

DSG/IIp

DC_MAIN 93565 v 1

Sheet 2 of 2

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.